# Multicomponent Coupling Reaction Using Arynes: Synthesis of Xanthene Derivatives

Eito Yoshioka, Masatoshi Nishimura, Takashi Nakazawa, Shigeru Kohtani, and Hideto Miyabe\*

School of Pharmacy, Hyogo University of Health Sciences, Minatojima, Kobe 650-8530, Japan

**S** Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: One-pot synthesis of xanthene derivatives was achieved by a route involving the cascade three-component coupling reaction of arynes with DMF and active methylenes followed by the  $S_N2'$  reaction of three-component coupling products with thiols. The reactivity of three-component coupling products toward nucleophiles and the further conversion of oxygen heterocycles allowing facile incorporation of structural variety were studied.

Synthetic strategies involving cascade/domino/tandem<br>process offer the advantage of multiple carbon–carbon<br>and/or carbon–beterostom bond formations in a single and/or carbon−heteroatom bond formations in a single operation.<sup>1,2</sup> In recent years, arynes have gained increased attention as highly reactive species for constructing the multisubs[titu](#page-4-0)ted arenes.<sup>3</sup> In particular, the multicomponent coupling reactions using arynes continue to attract much interest.<sup> $4,5$ </sup> Recently, we [r](#page-4-0)eported the insertion of arynes into the C=O bond of formamides<sup>6,7</sup> and its application to the cascade [p](#page-4-0)rocess trapping the transient intermediates with nucleophiles or dienophiles.<sup>8</sup> I[n t](#page-4-0)his paper, we describe the synthetic application to prepare the oxygen heterocycles such as xanthene derivatives via a [ro](#page-5-0)ute involving three-component coupling reaction of arynes with N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and active methylenes followed by the  $S_N 2'$  reaction of three-component coupling products with thiols. We also report the further conversion of xanthene derivatives allowing facile incorporation of structural variety.

In our previous study, 8a we reported that a four-component coupling reaction using two different 1,3-diketones 2 and 3 gave the xanthene deriva[tiv](#page-5-0)e 4 by a one-pot procedure (Scheme 1). This transformation involves the insertion of aryne into the  $C=O$  of DMF giving benzoxetene A and  $o$ -quinone methide  $B$ , which are trapped by anion C. The xanthene 4 is formed by the  $S_N 2'$  reaction of three-component coupling product 5 and a[nio](#page-5-0)n D.

However, the structural variety of oxygen heterocycles prepared by this four-component coupling reaction is limited as shown below. For further expansion of this multistep sequential transformation, we first directed our attention to the reactivity of three-component coupling products such as 5 and the adaptability of second nucleophiles in the  $S_N^2$  reaction trapping 5. Our experiments began with the investigation of the reactivity of 5, 8, and 10 (Scheme 2). The  $S_N^2$  reaction of 5 with 1,3-diketones 3 or 6 proceeded effectively to give





xanthenes 4 and 7 in good chemical yields. Similarly, tricyclic substrate 8 has shown the excellent reactivity toward 1,3 diketone 3. In marked contrast, no reaction was observed when bicyclic substrate 10 was employed. Next, the adaptability of second nucleophiles was studied. Under similar conditions, the acyclic active methylenes such as acetylacetone and diethyl malonate did not work as a second nucleophile trapping 5.

Received: June 26, 2015 Published: July 27, 2015

## Scheme 2. Reaction of Three-Component Coupling Products 5, 8, and 10 with Second Nucleophiles



Consequently, the usable nucleophile is limited to cyclic 1,3 diketones.

To understand the different reactivities between tricyclic substrates and bicyclic substrate, we calculated the stable conformations of 8 and 10 (Figure 1). $^{10}$  In the optimized





structure of 8, the hydroxyl group occupies pseudoaxial direction which would be crucial for the efficiency of  $S_N 2'$ process. In contrast, the computational structure optimization of 10 supported the formation of stable intramolecular hydrogen bond between the hydroxyl group and the carbonyl group.

With these results in mind, the reactive tricyclic substrate 8 was used in further investigations screening the usable nucleophiles. We found that thiophenol acted as a second nucleophile in the  $S_N^2$  reaction of tricyclic substrate 8 (Table 1). Initially, we allowed tricyclic substrate 8 to react with 2 equiv of thiophenol in  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  at room temperature (entry 1). The desired xanthene derivative 11a having a phenylthio group at the 9 position was obtained in 22% yield, accompanied by 43% yield of the recovered starting material 8. The chemical yield of 11a increased to 51% when the reaction was carried out





 $a$ Reactions were carried out with 8 or 10 (1.0 equiv) and nucleophile (2.0 equiv) in  $CH_3CN$ .  $^b$  Isolated yield. The yield in parentheses is for the recovered substrate 8.

under reflux conditions (entry 2). The further improvement in the chemical yield was observed by employing acetic acid as an additive at room temperature (entry 3), although the use of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) was less effective (entry 4). These results indicate that the mild Brønsted acid is suitable for the activation of the hydroxyl group as a leaving group. In contrast, the reaction of bicyclic substrate 10 with thiophenol did not proceed effectively (entry 5). For comparison, phenol did not work even under the acidic conditions (entries 6 and 7).

Next, we allowed tricyclic substrate 8 to react with ethanethiol (EtSH) in the presence of acetic acid (Scheme 3). As expected, the xanthene derivative 11b was isolated in 97% yield. A good yield was obtained when tricyclic substrate 5 was employed.





We were gratified to observe the sufficient nucleophilicity of thiols toward tricyclic substrates under mild acidic conditions. Therefore, the  $S_N 2'$  reaction with thiols was next applied to the one-pot, four-component coupling reaction starting from the insertion of arynes to DMF ( $\overline{\text{Scheme 4}}$ ).<sup>11</sup> After a solution of triflate 1 (1.0 equiv), 1,3-diketone 3 (1.2 equiv), and anhydrous TBAF (3.0 equiv) in DMF w[as stirred at](#page-2-0) [ro](#page-5-0)om temperature for 3 h, a solution of thiophenol (4.0 equiv) and acetic acid (6.0 equiv) in  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  was added to the reaction mixture. Although the desired xanthene 11a was obtained in 16% yield, xanthene 9, generated by the reaction of 8 with 1,3-diketone 3, was a major product. Improvement in the chemical yield of xanthene 11a was observed by changing the amounts of triflate 1 and 1,3 diketone 3. When triflate 1 (1.2 equiv) and 1,3-diketone 3 (1.0

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

equiv) were employed, the formation of 9 was mostly suppressed to afford 11a in 71% yield. Under the similar reaction conditions, four-component coupling reactions giving xanthenes 11b, 12, and 14 proceeded effectively by a one-pot procedure. These transformations involve the formation of two C−C, two C−O, and C−S bonds.

To synthesize xanthene derivatives with structural variety, we finally investigated the further conversion of the fourcomponent coupling products 14 and 11a having a phenylthio group at 9 position (Scheme 5). To introduce the alkyl group, the nucleophilic substitution of 14 with organometallic reagents was evaluated. Among several reagents, $12$  the use of diethylzinc led to the formation of the ethylated xanthene 15 in 96% yield. Similarly, the ethylated xanthene 16 wa[s f](#page-5-0)ormed form 11a. This conversion was successfully applied to the reaction using diethyl malonate 17 and  $Et<sub>2</sub>Zn$  via the formation of zinc complex  $\mathbf{E}$ .<sup>12</sup> As expected, the desired product 18 was obtained in 95% yield, allowing facile incorporation of structural variety. It is impor[tan](#page-5-0)t to note that the obtained xanthene derivatives 15, 16, and 18 could not be synthesized by the direct  $S_N$ 2' reaction of three-component coupling products such as 8 with  $Et<sub>2</sub>Zn$  or diethyl malonate 17.

Dialkylzincs



In conclusion, we have developed the multicomponent coupling reaction for the synthesis of xanthene derivatives. Most of the synthetic approaches to the benzo-fused oxygen heterocycles have involved the use of phenol derivatives. $^{13}$ Therefore, new approaches using transition-metal-catalyzed aromatic C−O bond formation continues to attract mu[ch](#page-5-0) interest.<sup>14</sup> This cascade reaction is important as an alternative approach involving the aromatic C−O bond-forming process using ar[yn](#page-5-0)es and DMF.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Methods. Melting points are uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were measured at 400 or 600 MHz with CDCl<sub>3</sub> or  $C_6D_6$  as an internal standard (7.26 or 7.15 ppm, respectively). <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were measured at 101 or 151 MHz with  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  or  $C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>$  as an internal standard (77.0 or 128.0 ppm, respectively). HRMS measurements using electrospray ionization (ESI) were performed with a time-offlight (TOF) mass analyzer.

We reported the experimental procedure for preparing of 2Hchromenes  $8$  and  $10$  in our previous paper.<sup>8a</sup> According to this procedure, 2H-chromene 5 was prepared.

2,3,4,4a-Tetrahydro-4a-hydroxy-8-metho[xy](#page-5-0)-3,3-dimethyl-1Hxanthen-1-one (5): colorless crystals; subl[im](#page-5-0)ated dec mp 118−120 °C (CH2Cl2−i-PrOH); IR (KBr) 3417, 2957, 1671, 1603, 1566, 1467 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  8.21 (1H, s), 6.94 (1H, br t, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.73 (1H, br d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz), 6.03 (1H, br d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz), 3.20 (3H, s), 2.39 (1H, br s), 2.32 (1H, dd, J = 16.0, 1.5 Hz), 2.13 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 1.0 Hz), 2.03 (1H, br d,  $J = 14.0$  Hz), 1.91 (1H, br d,  $J = 16.0$  Hz), 0.94 (3H, s), 0.69 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  196.1, 158.2, 153.9, 132.2, 128.7, 124.7, 111.0, 110.3, 103.4, 96.7, 55.2, 52.6, 48.7, 31.4, 30.3, 27.8; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{16}H_{18}O_4$ Na  $(M + Na<sup>+</sup>)$  297.1097, found 297.1095.

General Procedure for the Reaction of 5, 8, and 10 with 1,3- **Diketones 3 or 6.** To a solution of 5, 8, or 10  $(0.10 \text{ mmol})$  and  $1,3$ diketones 3 or 6 (0.10 mmol) in DMF (1.0 mL) was added a solution of anhydrous TBAF (63 mg, 0.20 mmol) in DMF (0.10 mL) under argon atmosphere at room temperature. After the solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h, silica gel (0.50 g) was added to the reaction mixture, which was concentrated under reduced pressure.

Purification of the residue by flash silica gel column chromatography  $(ACOEt/hexane = 1:10-1:0$  with 2% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) afforded the products 4 (31 mg, 84%), 7 (32 mg, 81%), and 9 (27 mg, 79%). We reported the characterization data of 4 in our previous paper. $84$ 

2,3,4,9-Tetrahydro-9-(2-hydroxy-5,5-dimethyl-6-oxo-1-cyclohexen-1-yl)-8-methoxy-3,3-dimethyl-1H-xanthe[n-1](#page-5-0)-one (7): brown solid; IR (KBr) 3179, 2960, 1713, 1618, 1588, 1469, 1381 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  10.49 (1H, s), 7.11 (1H, br dt, J = 8.2, 1.4 Hz), 6.68 (1H, br dd, J = 8.2, 1.0 Hz), 6.54 (1H, br d, J = 8.2 Hz), 4.69 (1H, s),  $3.72$  (3H, s),  $2.78-2.44$  (2H, m),  $2.34$  (1H, d, J = 17.9 Hz),  $2.31$  (1H, d,  $J = 17.9$  Hz), 2.00 (1H, d,  $J = 17.4$  Hz), 1.91 (1H, d,  $J = 17.4$  Hz), 1.90−1.75 (2H, m), 1.12 (3H, s), 1.11 (3H, s), 0.97 (3H, s), 0.93 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  205.9, 196.8, 170.9, 168.4, 156.3, 151.9, 127.5, 116.8, 112.5, 110.4, 108.2, 105.7, 55.3, 50.7, 43.1, 40.1, 33.5, 30.6, 30.0, 25.4, 25.1, 24.9, 24.3, 23.9; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup> ) calcd for  $C_{24}H_{29}O_5$  (M + H<sup>+</sup>) 397.2010, found 397.2005.

2,3,4,9-Tetrahydro-9-(2-hydroxy-6-oxo-1-cyclohexen-1-yl)-8-methoxy-1H-xanthen-1-one (9): colorless crystals; mp 182.5−184 °C (CH2Cl2−i-PrOH); IR (KBr) 3072, 2945, 1646, 1617, 1588, 1469 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  10.55 (1H, s), 7.13 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.69 (1H, dd, J = 8.0, 1.0 Hz), 6.57 (1H, dd, J = 8.0, 1.0 Hz), 4.73 (1H, s), 3.75 (3H, s), 2.74 (1H, dt, J = 18.0, 5.0 Hz), 2.60−2.50 (3H, m), 2.43−2.36 (2H, m), 2.13 (1H, m), 2.06−1.99 (3H, m), 1.82 (1H, m), 1.72 (1H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  201.2, 197.2, 172.7, 170.7, 156.8, 151.9, 127.6, 118.2, 112.9, 112.6, 108.3, 106.4, 55.7, 37.2, 36.0, 29.6, 27.8, 23.7, 20.0, 19.9; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{21}O_5$  (M + H<sup>+</sup>) 341.1384, found 341.1383.

General Procedure for the Reaction of 5 and 8 with Thiols. To a solution of 5 or 8 (0.10 mmol) in  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  (0.85 mL) were added thiophenol or ethanethiol (0.20 mmol) and acetic acid (3.0  $\mu$ L, 0.050 mmol) under argon atmosphere at room temperature. After being stirred at room temperature for 12 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by flash silica gel column chromatography (AcOEt/hexane = 1:20−1:0 with 2%  $CH_2Cl_2$ ) afforded the products 11a (30 mg, 89%), 11b (28 mg, 97%), and 12 (34 mg, 93%).

2,3,4,9-Tetrahydro-8-methoxy-9-(phenylthio)-1H-xanthen-1-one (11a): colorless crystals; mp 130−133 °C (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>−hexanes); IR (KBr) 2943, 2892, 1641, 1585, 1482, 1470, 1382 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; 1 H NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>)$   $\delta$  7.30 (1H, br t, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.14 (3H, br t, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.00  $(2H, br dd, J = 7.8, 1.0 Hz), 6.68 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 6.41 (1H, d, J = 1.0 Hz)$ 8.2 Hz), 5.49 (1H, s), 3.89 (3H, s), 2.52 (1H, dt, J = 17.6, 1.0 Hz), 2.41−2.32 (2H, m), 2.22 (1H, dt, J = 17.6, 1.0 Hz), 2.02−1.89 (2H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 195.8, 167.7, 156.9, 151.3, 137.1, 131.9, 128.8, 128.1, 127.8, 111.8, 110.7, 108.2, 106.5, 55.9, 36.9, 35.6, 27.4, 20.2; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{18}O_3SNa$   $(M + Na<sup>+</sup>)$  361.0869, found 361.0872.

2,3,4,9-Tetrahydro-8-methoxy-9-(ethylthio)-1H-xanthen-1-one (11b): colorless oil; IR (KBr) 2959, 1643, 1589, 1483, 1379 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.18 (1H, br t, J = 8.2 Hz), 6.68 (1H, br d, J = 8.2 Hz), 6.67 (1H, br d, J = 8.2 Hz), 5.20 (1H, s), 3.89 (3H, s), 2.72 (1H, dt, J = 17.9, 5.0 Hz), 2.62–2.34 (5H, m), 2.34–2.05 (2H, m), 1.12  $(3H, t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz})$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  196.4, 167.5, 156.9, 151.5, 128.0, 112.6, 112.3, 108.5, 106.8, 55.9, 37.0, 30.2, 27.7, 24.3, 20.4, 14.0; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{16}H_{18}O_3S$ Na  $(M + Na^+)$  313.0869, found 313.0868.

2,3,4,9-Tetrahydro-8-methoxy-3,3-dimethyl-9-(phenylthio)-1Hxanthen-1-one (12): colorless crystals; mp 147–148 °C (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>– hexanes); IR (KBr) 2958, 1664, 1647, 1585, 1469, 1382 cm<sup>−1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.29–7.25 (1H, m), 7.17–7.12 (3H, m), 7.05 (2H, br dt,  $J = 8.2$ , 1.6 Hz), 6.66 (1H, br d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz), 6.45 (1H, br d,  $J =$ 8.2 Hz), 5.50 (1H, s), 3.83 (3H, s), 2.32 (1H, d,  $J = 16.0$  Hz), 2.31  $(1H, d, J = 16.0 Hz)$ , 2.25  $(1H, d, J = 17.4 Hz)$ , 2.19  $(1H, d, J = 17.4$ Hz), 1.12 (3H, s), 1.03 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  195.8, 166.0, 156.9, 151.5, 136.5, 132.5, 128.5, 128.2, 127.9, 111.8, 110.2, 108.4, 106.4, 55.8, 50.9, 41.3, 35.9, 32.1, 28.9, 28.1; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup> ) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{22}O_3$ SNa  $(M + Na<sup>+</sup>)$  389.1182, found 389.1184.

General Procedure for the One-Pot, Four-Component Coupling Reaction. To a solution of triflates 1 or 13 (0.24 mmol) and 1,3-diketones 2 or 3 (0.20 mmol) in DMF (2.0 mL) was added a

solution of anhydrous TBAF (227 mg, 0.72 mmol) in DMF (0.36 mL) under argon atmosphere at room temperature. After the solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, a solution of thiophenol or ethanethiol (0.60 mmol) and acetic acid (114  $\mu$ L, 2.0 mmol) in  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN (2.0 mL)$  was added to the reaction mixture. After the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h, silica gel (2.0 g) was added, which was concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by flash silica gel column chromatography (AcOEt/hexane = 1:20−1:0 with 2% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) afforded the products 11a (48 mg, 71%), 11b (42 mg, 72%), 12 (57 mg, 78%), and 14 (36 mg, 58%).

2,3,4,9-Tetrahydro-9-(phenylthio)-1H-xanthen-1-one (14):<sup>13a</sup> colorless crystals; mp 86–87 °C (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–hexanes); IR (KBr) 3058, 2950, 1663, 1640, 1579, 1483, 1458, 1382 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C[DCl](#page-5-0)<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.36−7.34 (1H, m), 7.31 (1H, br t, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.19−7.13 ([4](#page-5-0)H, m), 6.99 (2H, br d, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.78−6.73 (1H, m), 5.32 (1H, s), 2.57 (1H, dt, J = 17.0, 5.0 Hz), 2.48–2.31 (3H, m), 2.08–1.99 (2H, m). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  196.1, 168.0, 150.5, 137.0, 131.1, 129.6, 129.0, 128.1 (2C), 125.1, 122.5, 115.8, 110.5, 40.4, 36.8, 27.5, 20.2; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>SNa (M + Na<sup>+</sup>) 331.0763, found 331.0764.

General Procedure for the Reaction of 11a and 14 with Et<sub>2</sub>Zn. To a solution of xanthenes 11a or 14 (0.10 mmol) in diethyl ether  $(2.0 \text{ mL})$  was added Et<sub>2</sub>Zn  $(1.05 \text{ M} \text{ in hexane}, 0.19 \text{ mL}, 0.20 \text{ m}$ mmol) under argon atmosphere at 0 °C. After being stirred at room temperature for 4 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether and 0.1 M HCl and then extracted with diethyl ether. The organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by flash silica gel column chromatography (AcOEt/hexane =  $1:6-1:0$  with  $2\%$  CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) afforded the products 15 (22 mg, 96%) and 16 (24 mg, 93%).

2,3,4,9-Tetrahydro-9-ethyl-1H-xanthen-1-one (15): colorless crystals; mp 73−74 °C (hexanes); IR (KBr) 2959, 2871, 1643, 1581, 1486, 1456, 1388 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> . 1 H NMR (CDCl3) δ 7.20−7.08 (3H, m), 6.99 (1H, br d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz), 3.94 (1H, t,  $J = 5.0$  Hz), 2.65 (1H, dt,  $J = 17.4$ , 5.0 Hz), 2.60−2.33 (2H, m), 2.37 (1H, m), 2.11−2.00 (2H, m), 1.74− 1.55 (2H, m), 0.67 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  197.6, 167.8, 150.7, 129.0, 127.2, 125.4, 124.6, 115.9, 113.6, 37.1, 32.2, 29.9, 27.8, 20.6, 9.1; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{16}O_2Na$   $(M + Na<sup>+</sup>)$ 251.1043, found 251.1048.

2,3,4,9-Tetrahydro-9-ethyl-8-methoxy-1H-xanthen-1-one (16): colorless crystals; mp 132−133 °C (hexanes). IR (KBr) 2959, 4647, 1586, 1482, 1466, 1388 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.12 (1H, br t, J = 8.2 Hz), 6.62 (2H, br d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz), 4.24 (1H, t,  $J = 4.6$  Hz), 3.81 (3H, s), 2.63 (1H, dt, J = 17.4, 5.0 Hz), 2.57−2.47 (2H, m), 2.35 (1H, m), 2.08−2.00 (2H, m), 1.75−1.58 (2H, m), 0.60 (3H, t, J = 7.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 197.5, 167.8, 157.3, 151.7, 127.2, 114.2, 113.7, 108.4, 106.1, 55.5, 37.1, 27.7, 27.2, 26.9, 20.6, 8.9; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{16}H_{18}O_3$ Na (M + Na<sup>+</sup>) 281.1148, found 281.1142.

Procedure for the Reaction of 14 with Diethyl Malonate 17 and Et<sub>2</sub>Zn. To a solution of diethyl malonate 17 (76  $\mu$ L, 0.50 mmol) in diethyl ether  $(2.0 \text{ mL})$  was added Et<sub>2</sub>Zn  $(1.05 \text{ M})$  in hexane, 0.19 mL, 0.20 mmol) under argon atmosphere at 0 °C. After the solution was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, a solution of xanthene 14 (31 mg, 0.10 mmol) in diethyl ether (2.0 mL) was added to the reaction mixture at 0 °C. After being stirred at 30 °C for 48 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether and 0.1 M HCl and then extracted with diethyl ether. The organic phase was dried over  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$  and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by flash silica gel column chromatography (AcOEt/hexane = 1:6−1:0 with 2%  $CH_2Cl_2$ ) afforded the product 18 (34 mg, 95%).

2-(2,3,4,9-Tetrahydro-1-oxo-1H-xanthen-9-yl)-propanedioic acid, 1,3-diethyl ester (18): colorless crystals; mp 57−58 °C (hexanes); IR (KBr) 2981, 2939, 1730, 1644, 1458, 1386 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.48 (1H, dd, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz), 7.21 (1H, m), 7.08  $(1H, m)$ , 7.00  $(1H, dd, J = 8.2, 0.9 Hz)$ , 4.74  $(1H, d, J = 3.7 Hz)$ , 4.19 (2H, q, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.92 (2H, br d, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.68 (1H, d, J = 3.7) Hz), 2.71−2.48 (3H, m), 2.38 (1H, m), 2.10−2.03 (2H, m), 1.25 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.06 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  197.1, 169.0, 168.2, 167.8, 151.0, 129.9, 128.3, 124.7, 121.5, 116.1, 111.5, 61.4, 61.0, 57.6, 36.9, 31.4, 28.0, 20.4, 14.0, 13.7; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup> ) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{22}O_6N$ a  $(M + Na^+)$  381.1309, found 381.1295.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Procedure for theReaction of 14 with EtMgBr. To a solution of xanthenes 14 (62 mg, 0.20 mmol) in diethyl ether (4.0 mL) was added EtMgBr (1.0 M in THF, 0.80 mL, 0.80 mmol) under argon atmosphere at 0 °C. After being stirred at room temperature for 14 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with AcOEt and 0.1 M HCl and then extracted with AcOEt. The organic phase was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by preparative TLC (AcOEt/hexane = 1:6) afforded the product  $19$  (21) mg, 44%).

(1E)-9-Ethyl-1-ethylidene-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-xanthene (19): colorless oil; IR (KBr) 2965, 2931, 1712, 1604, 1577, 1475, 1452 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.14 (1H, dd, J = 8.1, 0.9 Hz), 7.11 (1H, m), 7.01 (1H, td, J = 8.1, 0.9 Hz), 6.94 (1H, br d, J = 7.8 Hz), 5.47  $(1H, q, J = 6.9 \text{ Hz})$ , 3.69  $(1H, t, J = 4.8 \text{ Hz})$ , 2.53  $(1H, dt, J = 15.1, 4.8 \text{ Hz})$ Hz), 2.44 (1H, dt, J = 16.5, 5.0 Hz), 2.34 (1H, ddd, J = 16.5, 9.2, 5.0 Hz), 2.15 (1H, br m), 1.85 (1H, m), 1.79−1.54 (3H, m), 1.74 (3H, d,  $J = 6.9$  Hz), 0.70 (3H, t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  151.8, 148.8, 133.4, 128.6, 126.7, 125.5, 122.8, 115.4, 113.5, 110.6, 35.1, 29.5, 27.8, 25.4, 21.9, 13.6, 9.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{20}ONa$  (M +  $\mathrm{Na}^+$ ) 263.1406, found 263.1420; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $\mathrm{C_{17}H_{22}O_2Na}$  $(M + H<sub>2</sub>O + Na<sup>+</sup>)$  281.1512, found 281.1530.

Procedure for the Reaction of 14 with Diethyl  $α$ -<br>Bromomalonate 20 and Et<sub>2</sub>Zn. To a solution of diethyl  $α$ bromomalonate 20 (165  $\mu$ L, 1.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (2.0 mL) was added Et<sub>2</sub>Zn  $(1.0 \text{ M}$  in hexane, 0.50 mL, 0.50 mmol) under argon atmosphere at 0 °C. After the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, a solution of xanthene 14 (31 mg, 0.10 mmol) in diethyl ether (2.0 mL) was added at 0 °C. After being stirred at room temperature for 24 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether and 0.1 M HCl and then extracted with diethyl ether. The organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by preparative TLC (AcOEt/hexane = 1:2 with 2%  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ ) afforded the products 18 (7.2 mg, 20%) and 21 (15 mg, 34%).

2-Bromo-2-(2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1-oxo-1H-xanthen-9-yl)-propanedioic acid, 1,3-diethyl ester (21): colorless crystals; mp 73−74 °C (hexanes); IR (KBr) 2981, 2938, 1738, 1663, 1642, 1457, 1380 cm<sup>-1</sup>;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCL) δ 7 56 (1H dd I – 8 2 1 4 H<sub>2</sub>) 7 26 (1H td I – <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.56 (1H, dd, J = 8.2, 1.4 Hz), 7.26 (1H, td, J = 8.2, 1.4 Hz), 7.14−7.07 (2H, m), 5.35 (1H, s), 4.25−4.11 (4H, m), 2.81 (1H, dt, J = 17.9, 3.9 Hz), 2.60−2.52 (2H, m), 2.32−2.11 (2H, m), 2.05 (1H, m), 1.29 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  196.8, 170.1, 165.8, 165.6, 152.5, 130.5, 128.7, 124.7, 121.0, 116.3, 110.1, 71.2, 63.5, 63.0, 37.7, 36.8, 28.3, 19.7, 13.7; one carbon peak was missing due to overlapping; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{22}^{79}BrO_6 (M + H<sup>+</sup>)$  437.0594, found 437.0597; HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{22}^{81}BrO_6$   $(M + H^+)$  439.0577, found 439.0577.

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **9** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01452.

> Computationally optimized structures, tables of atom [coordinates and ab](http://pubs.acs.org)solute e[nergies of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01452) 8 and 10, the reaction of 14 with organometallic reagents, and  $^1\mathrm{H}$  and  $13C$  NMR spectra of newly obtained products (PDF)

# ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

## Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: miyabe@huhs.ac.jp. Fax: (+81) 78-304-2794.

#### Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:miyabe@huhs.ac.jp)peting financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grantin-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant Nos. 25460028 and 15K07879.

### ■ REFERENCES

(1) Ramachary, D. B.; Jain, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 1277− 1300.

(2) Dömling, A.; Wang, W.; Wang, K. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 3083− 3135.

(3) For reviews, see: (a) Kessar, S. V. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Flemming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 4, pp 483−515. (b) Saito, S.; Yamamoto, Y. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2901−2915. (c) Pellissier, H.; Santelli, M. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 701− 730. (d) Wenk, H. H.; Winkler, M.; Sander, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 502−528. (e) Winkler, M.; Wenk, H. H.; Sander, W. In Reactive Intermediate Chemistry; Moss, R. A., Platz, M. S., Jones, M. J., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, 2004; pp 741−794. (f) Peña, D.; Pérez, D.; Guitián, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3579-3581. (g) Guitián, E.; Peña, D.; Pérez, D. Heterocycles 2007, 74, 89−100. (h) Dyke, A. M.; Hester, A. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C. Synthesis 2006, 2006, 4093−4112. (i) Sanz, R. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2008, 40, 215−291. (j) Kitamura, T. Aust. J. Chem. 2010, 63, 987−1001. (k) Yoshida, H.; Ohshita, J.; Kunai, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2010, 83, 199−219. (l) Yoshida, H.; Takai, K. Heterocycles 2012, 85, 1333−1349. (m) Bhunia, A.; Yetra, S. R.; Biju, A. T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3140−3152. (n) Tadross, P. M.; Stoltz, B. M. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 3550−3577. (o) Bhojgude, S. S.; Biju, A. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1520−1522. (p) Gampe, C. M.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3766−3778. (q) Yoshida, H.; Takaki, K. Synlett 2012, 23, 1725−1732. (r) Okuma, K. Heterocycles 2012, 85, 515−544. (s) Pérez, D.; Peña, D.; Guitián, E. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 2013, 5981−6013. (t) Goetz, A. E.; Garg, N. K. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 846−851. (u) Miyabe, H. Curr. Org. Chem. 2015, 19, 1222−1241.

(4) For some selected studies on transition-metal-catalyzed reactions, see: (a) Peña, D.; Escudero, S.; Pérez, D.; Guitián, E.; Castedo, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2659−2661. (b) Yoshikawa, E.; Yamamoto, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 173−175. (c) Liu, Z.; Larock, R. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2535−2538. (d) Jayanth, T. T.; Cheng, C.-H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5921−5924. (e) Xie, C.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, Y. Chem. Commun. 2008, 4810−4812. (f) Saito, N.; Shiotani, K.; Kinbara, A.; Sato, Y. Chem. Commun. 2009, 4284−4286. (g) Jeganmohan, M.; Bhuvaneswari, S.; Cheng, C.-H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 391−394. (h) Gerfaud, T.; Neuville, L.; Zhu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 572−577. (i) Liu, Y.-L.; Liang, Y.; Pi, S.-F.; Huang, X.-C.; Li, J.-H. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3199−3202. (j) Zeng, Y.; Zhang, L.; Zhao, Y.; Ni, C.; Zhao, J.; Hu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 2955−2958.

(5) For some selected studies on transition-metal-free reactions, see: (a) Yoshida, H.; Fukushima, H.; Ohshita, J.; Kunai, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 11040−11041. (b) Hamura, T.; Arisawa, T.; Matsumoto, T.; Suzuki, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6842− 6844. (c) Zhao, J.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 583−588. (d) Gilmore, C. D.; Allan, K. M.; Stoltz, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1558−1559. (e) Sha, F.; Huang, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 3458−3461. (f) Cant, A. A.; Bertrand, G. H. V.; Henderson, J. L.; Roberts, L.; Greaney, M. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5199− 5202. (g) Okuma, K.; Nojima, A.; Matsunaga, N.; Shioji, K. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 169−171. (h) Okano, K.; Fujiwara, H.; Noji, T.; Fukuyama, T.; Tokuyama, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5925−5929. (i) Yoshida, H.; Asatsu, Y.; Mimura, Y.; Ito, Y.; Ohshita, J.; Takaki, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9676−9679. (j) Bhunia, A.; Porwal, D.; Gonnade, R. G.; Biju, A. T. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4620−4623. (k) Bhunia, A.; Roy, T.; Pachfule, P.; Rajamohanan, P. R.; Biju, A. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10040−10043. (l) Ikawa, T.; Takagi, A.; Goto, M.; Aoyama, Y.; Ishikawa, Y.; Itoh, Y.; Fujii, S.; Tokiwa, H.; Akai, S. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 2965−2983. (m) Stephens, D.; Zhang, Y.; Cormier, M.; Chavez, G.; Arman, H.; Larionov, O. V. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 6558−6560.

(6) (a) Yoshioka, E.; Kohtani, S.; Miyabe, H. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1956−1959. (b) Yoshioka, E.; Miyabe, H. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 179− 189.

 $(7)$  For studies on the insertion of arynes into the C=O bond of formamide, see: (a) Yaroslavsky, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 6, 1503−

<span id="page-5-0"></span>1507. (b) Okuma, K.; Nojima, A.; Nakamura, Y.; Matsunaga, N.; Nagahora, N.; Shioji, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2011, 84, 328−332. (c) Yoshida, H.; Ito, Y.; Ohshita, J. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 8512− 8514. (d) Miyabe, H. Molecules 2015, 20, 12558−12575.

(8) (a) Yoshioka, E.; Kohtani, S.; Miyabe, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6638−6642. (b) Yoshioka, E.; Tanaka, H.; Kohtani, S.; Miyabe, H. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3938−3941. (c) Yoshioka, E.; Kotani, H.; Miyabe, H. Molecules 2014, 19, 863−880. (d) Yoshioka, E.; Tamenaga, H.; Miyabe, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 1402−1405. (e) Yoshioka, E.; Kohtani, S.; Miyabe, H. Molbank 2015, 2015, M841. (9) Van De Water, R. W.; Pettus, T. R. R. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 5367−5405.

(10) See the Supporting Information.

(11) For reviews on DMF as a multipurpose reagent in organic chemistry, see: (a) Muzart, J. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 8313−8323. (b) Ding, S.; Jiao, N. [Angew. Chem., In](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01452/suppl_file/jo5b01452_si_001.pdf)t. Ed. 2012, 51, 9226−9237.

(12) The use of EtMgBr led to the formation of product 19. When diethyl  $\alpha$ -bromomalonate 20 was employed instead of diethyl malonate 17, the competitive formation of the  $\alpha$ -brominated product 21 was observed (see the Supporting Information).

(13) For some selected studies, see: (a) Li, M.; Zhang, B.; Gu, Y. Green Chem. 2012, 14, 2421−2424. (b) Bhattacharjee, S.; Das, D. K.; Khan, A. T. Synthesis 2013, 46, 73−[80. \(c\) Sangsuw](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01452/suppl_file/jo5b01452_si_001.pdf)an, R.; Sangher, S.; Aree, T.; Mahidol, C.; Ruchirawat, S.; Kittakoop, P. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 13708−13718. (d) Huo, C.-D.; Bao, X.-Z.; Hu, D.-C.; Jia, X.-D.; Sun, C.-G.; Wang, C. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2014, 25, 699−701.

(14) For some selected studies, see: (a) Palucki, M.; Wolfe, J. P.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10333−10334. (b) Shelby, Q.; Kataoka, N.; Mann, G.; Hartwig, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10718−10719. (c) Chen, C.; Dormer, P. G. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6964−6967. (d) Liang, Z.; Hou, W.; Du, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Pan, Y.; Mao, D.; Zhao, K. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4978−4981. (e) Wang, X.; Lu, Y.; Dai, H.-X.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12203−12205. (f) Tsui, G. C.; Tsoung, J.; Dougan, P.; Lautens, M. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5542−5545.